UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,032	08/09/2005	Fatiha Anouar	08774-269US1/MEG0880	6956
26211 FISH & RICHA	7590 02/27/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		THOMAS, MIA M		
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,032	ANOUAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mia M. Thomas	2624	

zororo mo ming or am appear zmor	Examiner	Art Offic	i		
	Mia M. Thomas	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month:	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a		
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);			
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		lucina or cimplifyina t	na igayaa far		
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying the	ie issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		otod oldiirio.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,,	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / milename (	1 02 02 1/1		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiliited iii a separate, i	intery filed afficilation	it canceling the		
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6,8-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t hefore or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will not	he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c</li> </ol>					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
40 D Note the attached information District and City	(DTO/OD/00) Dan an No (a)				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
10. [_] Outon					
/Vikkram Bali/	/Mia M Thomas/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Examiner, Art Unit 2624				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: With regards to newly amended independent claim 2, the applicant has incorporated the features of (now canceled) claim 7 which was previously rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatenable over Ross, Holl, and Imagawa (all references made of record). The examination of newly amended independent claim 2 would require a further consideration and/or search.